

August 15, 2006

The Honorable Roxanne Bailin
District Court Judge

Dear Judge Bailin:

The People, through District Attorney Mary T. Lacy, hereby request that this Court order the warrant and supporting affidavit, and all other associated documents submitted to this Court in connection with this arrest warrant, including this letter, be sealed for 90 days or until further order of this Court, except for use in any extradition proceedings to bring the suspect to this jurisdiction. The People request that the Court order its staff and that of the Court Clerk to deny access to any and all of these documents for the pendency of the investigation or until the matter can be reviewed after charges are filed, pursuant to §24-72-305(5), C.R.S. and §24-72-204(2)(a)(I), C.R.S. The reasons for this request are stated below.

1. There is an ongoing murder investigation initiated by the Boulder Police Department and now pursued by the District Attorney for the Twentieth Judicial District into the homicide of JONBENET RAMSEY. The date of this homicide was between December 25, 1996 and December 26, 1996. No arrest has yet been made. The public and the press throughout this country and throughout the world have shown substantial interest in this investigation.

2. The District Attorney has submitted herewith an affidavit in support of an arrest warrant in this investigation. This was done as part of a lengthy and complicated cooperative effort of a number of law enforcement agencies in this country and abroad.

3. The suspect has not yet been taken into custody and is in a foreign country. It is highly likely he would flee and go into hiding if he were to learn that the authorities know his identity, whereabouts, and other information in these documents.

4. The affidavit, warrant, and other documents submitted to this Court in connection with the various search warrants issued to date contain specific information from the investigatory records of various law enforcement agencies, including the Boulder Police Department, the Boulder County District Attorney, and the Boulder County Coroner, about the homicide of JonBenet Ramsey. Some of that information has not been disclosed to anyone outside law enforcement.

5. The investigation to date has resulted in the identification of the suspect and his location, but substantial further investigation will be necessary.

6. Premature disclosure of these documents at this sensitive point in this new stage of this lengthy investigation would be likely to be detrimental to the effort to execute this warrant, apprehend the suspect, and to return the suspect to this jurisdiction. Likewise, premature disclosure of the information contained in these documents during the pendency of the investigation that will follow taking this suspect into custody could compromise those ongoing efforts, including impacting witness statements to law enforcement and otherwise jeopardize the ability of law

enforcement authorities to collect, interpret, and evaluate information and could impede further investigation and conviction of the killer.

7. Disclosure of the information within these records would be contrary to, and would do substantial injury to, the public interest involved in this ongoing investigation.


8. Pursuant to 24-72-305(5), C.R.S. (with regard to criminal justice records), the custodian of criminal justice records may allow inspection of such records except "on the ground that disclosure would be contrary to the public interest, and unless otherwise provided by law, the custodian may deny access to the records of investigations conducted by or of intelligence information or security procedures of any sheriff, district attorney, or police department or any criminal justice investigatory files compiled for any other law enforcement purpose."

9. The District Attorney, as custodian of the records of her office, has determined that disclosure of their records of this investigation, including disclosure of those portions of those records contained in the affidavit, warrant, and other documents submitted to this Court in connection with the various search warrants issued to date, would be contrary to the public interest and have determined to deny access to their records for the pendency of this investigation.

10. It is in the public interest that access to the records and files compiled by the law enforcement agencies involved in this investigation be denied during the pendency of the investigation, including those records and files which have been provided this Court in connection with the warrants which have been requested and approved.

Wherefore, the People respectfully request the Court seal the warrant, affidavit, and other documents submitted to this Court in connection with the arrest warrant issued today, including this request, except for use in any extradition proceedings to return the suspect to this jurisdiction, and that the Court order its staff and that of the Court Clerk to deny access to any and all of these documents for the pendency of the investigation or until the matter can be reviewed after charges are filed.

Respectfully,



Peter Maguire

Assistant District Attorney

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DONE this 15th day of August, 2006



JUDGE

RADO
JULDER

Walk-Through Authorized
DA _____

_____ COURT, BOULDER COUNTY, COLORADO

Action Number _____

WARRANT FOR ARREST UPON AFFIDAVIT

BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROYANNE BAILIN

The People of the State of Colorado,
To: Any person authorized by law to execute arrest warrants.

You are hereby commanded to arrest the person of: **John Mark Karr**

DOB:	12-11-64	HAIR:	Brown
HEIGHT:	5'07"	EYES:	Blue
WEIGHT:	140	RACE/SEX:	Male
DL #:	_____	SSN:	_____
ALIAS:	DAXIS	ALIAS D.O.B.:	_____


And take the person above-named without unnecessary delay before the nearest judge of the Court of Record; to be advised that the person is being held for the alleged commission of the following crime(s), to-wit: In violation of C.R.S., 1973 revised:

Charge #	CRS #	Charge	Classification
1	18-3-102 (a)	First Degree Murder - After Deliberation	F 1
2	18-3-102 (b)	First Degree Murder - Felony Murder	F 1
3	18-3-301 (1) (a) (2)	First Degree Kidnapping	F 1
4	18-3-302 (3) (a)	Second Degree Kidnapping	F2
5.	18-3-405 (1) (2) and (3) (a)	Sexual Assault on a Child	F3 ER

That this warrant for arrest is issued upon affidavit sworn to and affirmed before this court and relating facts sufficient to establish probable cause that the above-named offense has been committed and probable cause that the person named in this warrant committed that offense.

BAIL IS SET AT \$ no bond WITH CONDITION(S) _____

DATE: 8/15/06


Judge's Signature

Agency Case Report # 96DA21871
Officer Name Thomas A. Bennett
Agency Boulder District Attorney's Office

RETURN OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have duly executed this warrant on this _____ day of _____, 20____.

by _____ as I am herein commanded.

SHERIFF FEES:

Service \$	_____
Mileage \$	_____
Return \$	_____
Total \$	_____

By: _____
Deputy

STATE OF COLORADO
COUNTY OF BOULDER COUNTY

IN THE DISTRICT COURT
BOULDER COUNTY, COLORADO

AFFIDAVIT FOR ARREST WARRANT

Your, affiant, Thomas A. Bennett, a duly sworn commissioned investigator for the Boulder County District Attorney's Office, County of Boulder, State of Colorado, deposes and says:

Your affiant, Chief Investigator Thomas A. Bennett of the Boulder County District Attorney's office has been so employed for a total of two (2) years. Prior to employment through the Boulder County District Attorney's Office, your affiant was employed through the City of Arvada Police Department for 28 years. During employment through the Arvada Police Department, your affiant was assigned to the Criminal Investigations Bureau (CIB) for 20 years. Your affiant was assigned to the Crimes Against Persons Unit of the CIB for 15 years and routinely investigated death investigations, including homicides, suicides, accidental deaths and natural deaths. Additionally, your affiant was assigned the investigation of Aggravated Robberies, Sexual Assaults and Felony Assaults. Your affiant has received specialized training regarding death investigation, which was sponsored through the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) and other governmental agencies. Your affiant has received other specialized training pertaining to Crime Scene Investigation, Fingerprint Classification, Interview and Interrogation in addition to numerous other schools. Your affiant is a member of the Colorado Homicide Investigators Association and the Colorado Association of Robbery Investigators. Your affiant is a member of the Rocky Mountain Division of the International Association for Identification, which is an association composed of forensic specialists and other investigators who routinely provide assistance to law enforcement agencies in identifying perpetrators of crimes, as well as identifying deceased victims to which no visible means of identification are available. Your affiant is certified through the Colorado Peace Officers Standards and Training as an instructor in criminal investigations.

Your affiant requests an Arrest Warrant be issued based on the following:

On 12/26/96, at 5:57 AM, a 911 telephone call was received at the Boulder Police Department, address 1805 33rd Street, Boulder, Boulder County, Colorado. The caller identified herself as Patricia Ann Ramsey, DOB/ 12-29-56. Patricia, or "Patsy" as she is known by her family and friends, reported her daughter -JonBenet Ramsey DOB/08-06-90 (age 6 at the time of the incident) had been kidnapped from the Ramsey residence located at 755 15th Street, City of Boulder, Boulder County, Colorado. Ms. Ramsey added a handwritten ransom note had been left in the house. The kidnappers demanded \$118,000.00. The kidnappers indicated in the note that they would call back at 10:00 AM with further instructions.

Boulder Police Department Crime Report P96-21871 addresses the investigation.

Boulder Police Officer Rick French was the first officer to arrive at the scene and made contact with the parents of the victim, identified as John Bennett Ramsey DOB/12-07-43 and his wife Patricia Ramsey. The parents related that the previous evening, this being Christmas day 12/25/96, they along with JonBenet Ramsey age 6 and JonBenet's brother identified as Burke Hamilton Ramsey DOB/01-27-87 (age 9) had attended a Christmas party at a friends house and had returned to the Ramsey home at approximately 10:00 PM. JonBenet was asleep in the family vehicle upon arrival at the Ramsey residence. John Ramsey carried JonBenet from the family vehicle to the second floor bedroom she occupied in the home. JonBenet was last seen in her bed asleep at approximately 10:00 PM on 12/25/96. John Ramsey, his wife Patsy Ramsey and their son Burke also retired at approximately 10:30 PM. John and Patsy Ramsey retired to their upper level master bedroom. Burke retired to his own bedroom.

The Ramsey family had previously prepared for a trip to Charlevoix, Michigan the next morning (December 26, 1996) using a small private airplane.

Patsy Ramsey stated she awoke shortly after 5:30 AM the morning of 12/26/96 and was going to prepare for the trip to Charlevoix, Michigan. Patsy Ramsey checked the bedroom of JonBenet and noticed her daughter was not in her bed. Patsy descended a spiral staircase leading from the second floor to the first floor and found a 3-page handwritten note on the stairs. Ms. Ramsey briefly reviewed the note and determined that it was a note demanding ransom. Patsy Ramsey told her husband John Ramsey of the discovery of the note. Patsy and John Ramsey checked their daughter's room and discovered JonBenet was missing from her bedroom. Patsy Ramsey called 911 and reported the kidnapping to the Boulder, Colorado Police Department.

Officer Rick French arrived and conducted a preliminary examination of the Ramsey residence and was unable to locate JonBenet Ramsey in the home. Officer French requested assistance at the scene by way of his police radio.

Other Boulder Police Officers including Detective Linda Arndt responded to the scene. The scene was initially treated as a kidnapping. Telephone surveillance was initiated pending the anticipated telephone call from the kidnappers at 10:00 AM. The phone call was not received at the Ramsey home. At approximately 1:00 PM on the same date of 12-26-96, Detective Arndt asked John Ramsey and a friend of Mr. Ramsey, identified as Fleet White DOB/05-19-49 to search the home again.

John Ramsey and Fleet White entered the basement of the residence. John Ramsey found his daughter on the floor in a windowless storeroom. JonBenet Ramsey was partially covered with a blanket and had duct tape on her mouth. Ligatures were on her wrists and a garrote type device was around her throat. John Ramsey related that he removed the

duct tape from his daughter's mouth and one of the ligatures from her wrists and then picked her up and brought her upstairs. A red colored ink marking was noted on the palm of her left hand. Mr. Ramsey was instructed by Detective Arndt to lay his daughter on the floor of the hallway near the front door of the residence. A short time later JonBenet's body was moved from the hallway to the living room. JonBenet Ramsey was deceased and it appeared as though she had been murdered.

On 12-27-96 Dr. John Meyers, the coroner for the Boulder Coroner's Office, conducted an autopsy. The external examination of JonBenet Ramsey revealed she was attired in white colored sweatshirt or similar apparel and white colored sweat pants with an elastic waistband. Under the sweatpants, JonBenet wore white colored underwear bearing the lettering of "WEDNESDAY" on the elastic waistband. Suspected bloodstains were evident on the underwear. A bracelet was noted on JonBenet's right wrist. Inscribed on one side of the bracelet was the name "JonBenet" on the opposite side the date of "12-25-96" was inscribed. JonBenet's blonde hair was fixed in two (2) ponytails, affixed with either a cloth hair tie and/or blue elastic bands. Detectives with the Boulder Police Department, attending the autopsy, seized numerous items of evidence, including the aforementioned items of clothing, bracelet, rope ligatures and the garrote type device containing various knots. The handwritten ransom note was placed into evidence. These evidentiary items were later submitted to the Colorado Bureau of Investigation for analysis

The cause of death of JonBenet Ramsey as determined by Dr. Meyers was asphyxia by strangulation associated with craniocerebral injuries. Also there was evidence of trauma and bleeding to the vaginal area. The manner of death was Homicide.

On 12-30-96, the bloodstains obtained from the panties of JonBenet were submitted to the Colorado Bureau of Investigation for testing. The lab results indicated that the major component of blood was attributed to JonBenet Ramsey. A secondary minor component was also found.

A large number of witness were interviewed including all Ramsey family members, close friends of the Ramsey family, business associates of Mr. Ramsey coupled with a Neighborhood Investigation. Numerous items of evidence were collected and submitted to the Colorado Bureau of Investigation Crime Laboratory for forensic analysis. However, the case remained unsolved.

Criminalist Greg LaBerge of the Denver Police Laboratory conducted tests on the bloodstains found in the panties of JonBenet. The results indicated that the minor secondary source of DNA contained a male fraction.

On 01-07-04 the minor secondary source of DNA found in the bloodspots of the panties was submitted to the Combined DNA Index System (CODIS) Database to be compared with existing and future samples submitted.

On May 10, 2006, your affiant conducted an interview with Michael Tracey, DOB/08-07-48, a Journalism Professor with the University of Colorado. Mr. Tracey produced a documentary on the death of JonBenet Ramsey entitled, "JonBenet's America", which aired in Europe during July 1998. Mr. Tracey also produced another documentary entitled "Who Killed the Pageant Queen" that was aired December 2004 in the United States. Mr. Tracey advised your affiant, that on September 10, 2002, he received an E-mail from an individual identifying himself as "December 25 1996@Yahoo.com". I later determined the E-mail was received at 4:31 PM Mountain Standard Time (MST). The E-mail was entitled "Our Sweetest JonBenet". This marked the first of a series of E-mails Mr. Tracey received from "December 25 1996" during the next four years.

Mr. Tracey has provided your affiant with either printed copies of the E-mails he has received from the unidentified author or he has provided an electronic storage device containing the E-mails received

The writer used the name of "December 25 1996@Yahoo.com" initially with his correspondence with Michael Tracey.

Appearing for the first time, on an E-mail received by Mr. Tracey on November 23, 2002, received at 2:59 PM MST, titled, "An Important Message", is the name of December1996@ziplip.com.

Appearing for the first time on an E-mail received by Mr. Tracey on September 20, 2005, at 6:00 PM MST, titled, "Never a Response" is the name of "December1996@Hushmail.com".

The individual identifying himself as "December 25 1996@Yahoo.com" advised Michael Tracey in his original E-mail sent on September 10, 2002 at 4:31 PM MST, titled, "Our Sweetest JonBenet", that he (December 25 1996@Yahoo.com) met an acquaintance of Mr. Tracey, while vacationing in Paris, France. The acquaintance of Mr. Tracey was later identified as: Michael D. Sandrock DOB/ 04-15-58.

Your Affiant conducted an interview with Michael Sandrock on May 12, 2006. Mr. Sandrock advised your affiant he recalled being in Paris, France approximately seven (7) years ago and met an individual at the "Shakespeare and Company" bookstore. Michael Sandrock advised the individual that he (Sandrock) was a reporter with the Boulder, Colorado Daily Camera Newspaper. The unidentified male immediately stated, "Boulder, that is where JonBenet Ramsey was killed."

The unknown male asked Mr. Sandrock if he was acquainted with Michael Tracey, a Journalism Professor with the University of Colorado. Sandrock stated he was familiar with Mr. Tracey and provided Michael Tracey's E-mail address to the unidentified male.

Mr. Sandrock described the unidentified male whom he conversed with at the Paris, France bookstore approximately seven (7) years ago as a Caucasian Male, mid 30's, medium height about height 5'08", slender athletic build, dark blonde collar length hair (full head of hair, no thinning) clean shaven, void of any visible scars, marks or tattoos. The male did not wear prescription lens glasses. He did not speak with an accent. The male did not exhibit a limp while walking. The male had a normal gait to his strides. According to Mr. Sandrock, the male was attired in a short sleeve shirt, possibly a polo style shirt. He wore slacks of an unknown color on one occasion and shorts of an unknown color on another occasion. Mr. Sandrock added, the male appeared "neat and clean" as opposed to many persons visiting the bookstore that were attired in hiking clothing and appeared to be "Backpacking through Europe. They can get a little grubby."

It should be noted, contained in an E-mail received by Michael Tracey from "December 1996" at an unspecified date and time and forwarded to former Inv. Lou Smit of the Boulder District Attorney's Office on May 4, 2006 at 10:54 AM MST, the male advised Mr. Tracey the aforementioned description as provided by Michael Sandrock was incorrect. "December 1996" remarks, "I am not medium height. I am small. I do not have light hair. My hair is dark and my eyes are a piercing blue." Former Inv. Smit forwarded the aforementioned E-mail to your affiant on the same date of May 4, 2006.

Initially the E-mails received by Journalism Professor Michael Tracey, from "December 1996@Yahoo.com" contained voluminous amounts of verbiage indicating the writer's infatuation with young girls. Often writing about his love of young females and his continued love of JonBenet Ramsey.

Mr. Tracey has provided your affiant with either printed copies of the E-mails he has received from the unidentified author or he has provided an electronic storage device containing the E-mails received. Your affiant has reviewed the E-mail correspondence and determined the typical E-mail message provided to your affiant is a series of communications between Mr. Tracey and "December1996". Often Michael Tracey receives a message from the writer and the message is forwarded to your affiant's attention. This original message is followed by Mr. Tracey's reply to the writer. Contained within Mr. Tracey's reply are portions of the original text of the letter writer's response

A review of the supplied E-mail messages provided by Michael Tracey coupled with an interview your affiant conducted with Mr. Tracey on May 10, 2006 at 2:00 PM, the following information was gleaned.

Within an untitled E-Mail received by Mr. Tracey on December 31, 2002 at 5:24 PM Mountain Standard Time (MST) "December 1996@ziplip.com" writes "You are a special friend to me. You cannot know how important you are to me." The aforementioned verbiage is the first sentence in this E-mail that is five pages in length.

AFFIDAVIT FOR ARREST WARRANT
JOHN MARK KARR
DOB/12-11-64
PAGE 6

Michael Tracey received other E-mails from "December 1996@ziplip.com" detailing the writer's travels across Europe with "his girls" referring to the numerous young children in his company.

Contained within an E-mail received by Michael Tracey on January 3, 2003 at 6:04 PM MST, and titled, "My Daughter", "December1996@ziplip.com" the writer states, "I present to you a lovely child – a little girl who is so pretty and and (sic) with the power to captivate my heart and a thousand others like mine. I present to you: my daughter...." The writer adds, "I tend to think of Dodgson and his young Loves, much like my own, and his incredible way with them, most like my way with lovely little girls – the most incredible living beings on this earth..." The writer attaches a photograph of a young girl, attired in a large dark colored hat, light colored long sleeve blouse, dark overcoat and shoes. A bracelet is noted on her left wrist. She is lying on her stomach with her feet curled up near her back. Her Light colored hair is past her shoulders. She is holding the stem of a rose in her right hand with the rose near her mouth while her face is held in the palm of her left hand. She is smiling. The photo of the child is in black and white. The photograph is titled, "My Daughter."

In a January 12, 2003 E-mail received by Michael Tracey, at 6:40 AM MST, titled, "Important", "December 1996@ziplip.com" writes, "You have asked me who I am and so I will tell you. Yes, I am a psychic and yes I speak to the dead. But more than this, I am a kind, loving, affectionate person who cares for children. Just last night, I went to the bed of a lovely little girl and comforted her as she cried. She did not feel well, she said. I stroked her hair and face and said, "Sweetheart everything will be all right. I am here to help you and take care of you. I care for you so much." I am that man who watched this little girl smile with relief as she listened to those golden words flow so fluently from my mouth into her attentive, understanding ears. I kissed her softly good night and left her room not before I made sure the light flowed into her room to her liking. That, my friend, is who you are talking to. I am a man who cherishes little girls and protects them with all that is in him."

The E-mails from "December1996@ziplip.com" continued through 2003. Typically lengthy messages were sent to Michael Tracey, often several pages in length, which Mr. Tracy responded to. On rare occasions, Michael Tracey did not respond immediately to the sender's E-mails, which prompted the sender to repeatedly remind Michael Tracey of his lack of response.

Mr. Tracey requested the sender of the E-mails, known only as December1996@ziplip.com to divulge his identity. Contained within an E-mail received by Mr. Tracey on August 26, 2003 at 2:49 AM MST, titled "An Appropriate Season", "December1996@ziplip.com writes, "After all the months we have talked and the guarding I have done, you would suggest I make an on camera appearance? And what do you expect me to say/ I am most surprised at you. I told you I would write under a pen name and collect proceeds through a European numbered account and you come back with this offer."

"December1996@ziplip.com" continued to write to Mr. Tracey during 2003 detailing his love of JonBenet Ramsey. The writer mentions his visits to 755 15th Street in Boulder, Colorado, which is the residential address of the Ramsey family when the First Degree Murder of their daughter, JonBenet Ramsey occurred. The writer also mentions his visits to the grave of JonBenet Ramsey where he prayed for her. However, the writer provides no details of these visits. The writer only mentions the fact that he visited both the residence and the gravesite.

Mr. Tracey did not receive any E-mails from "December 1996@ziplip.com" during 2004.

Commencing September 20, 2005 at 6:00 PM MST, December1996@hushmail.com begins communicating again with Michael Tracey. The E-mail is titled, "Never a Response". The writer mentions within the September 20, 2005 E-mail, "Here is my final word to you and everyone involved in this case: You are chasing in the wrong direction (as always) and leaving the most important link to JonBenet behind. You chased me away."

The aforementioned E-mail was the first E-mail received by Michael Tracey with the sender's new address of "December1996@hushmail.com".

Within an E-mail dated October 7, 2005 bearing a time of 2:48 AM MST, titled, "JonBenet's Closet", Michael Tracey writes to "December 1996@hushmail.com", "The case is creeping away from everyone else and becoming a very chilly case. By the tenth anniversary it will be in deep freeze, unsolved and apparently unsolvable."

December1996@hushmail.com answers, "It will continue to creep away until a new person rises up and speaks. I believe that person to be me though no one is listening publicly to me..."

Within the body of the same E-mail dated October 7, 2005, December1996@hushmail.com writes, "...I had spoken to the parents and the grandparents of JonBenet about this months or more prior to Lou Smit's revelation. Yes I have communicated with the Ramseys since her death. It was always to no avail. Recall the E-mail contact you granted. Nothing came of it and will not. I think it is too painful for them to communicate with me. I have always had such good rapport with parents."

The individual referred to as "Lou Smit" is former Investigator Lou Smit of the Boulder District Attorney's Office."

During the latter portion of 2005, December1996@hushmail.com continues to write of his fascination with and love for JonBenet Ramsey. The writer also mentions his love of little girls.

AFFIDAVIT FOR ARREST WARRANT
JOHN MARK KARR
DOB/12-11-64
PAGE 8

The communications between Michael Tracey and December1996@hushmail.com cease during January and February of 2006. Partly due to Mr. Tracey not responding to each and every E-mail that the sender had provided. Often, in past E-mail messages, December1996@hushmail.com instructed Mr. Tracey to answer specifically each question he had posed. If the answer was not provided, the writer would remind Mr. Tracey to provide the answer.

Commencing in March 2006, E-mail exchanges were initiated between Michael Tracey and December1996@hushmail.com. The following example received on March 11, 2006 at 4:47 AM, Mountain Standard Time (MST) titled, "My Last Two Letters", is illustrative of the E-mails the unknown writer has provided to Mr. Tracey. The text is copied verbatim from the E-mail received by Mr. Tracey and provided to your affiant by Mr. Tracey through an electronic storage device. The unidentified writer advises Mr. Tracey, a reply had not been received regarding E-mails he (December1996@hushmail.com) wrote to Mr. Tracey in January 2006. The text is provided unedited. Comments posted by Michael Tracey are indicated with punctuation marks of ">" or quotation marks which he himself wrote. The text is as follows:

```
Return-Path: <december1996@hushmail.com>
Received: from fuzz.colorado.edu (fuzz.colorado.edu [128.138.129.250])
by bandit.Colorado.EDU (8.13.5/8.13.4/ITS-6.0/tls) with ESMTMP id
k2BCm3CS014500
(version=TLsv1/SSLv3 cipher=DHE-RSA-AES256-SHA bits=256 verify=NO)
for <tracey@buffmail.colorado.edu>; Sat, 11 Mar 2006 05:48:03 -0700
(MST)
Received: from mx11.Colorado.EDU (mx11.colorado.edu [128.138.128.111])
by fuzz.colorado.edu (8.13.1/8.13.0/ITS-6.0/standard-linux) with ESMTMP
id
k2BCm3In016713
for <michael.tracey@colorado.edu>; Sat, 11 Mar 2006 05:48:03 -0700
X-ASG-Debug-ID: 1142081281-24462-71-0
X-Barracuda-URL: http://128.138.128.111:8000/cgi-bin/mark.cgi
Received: from smtp3.hushmail.com (smtp3.hushmail.com [65.39.178.135])
by mx11.Colorado.EDU (Spam Firewall) with ESMTMP id 81D99B764F
for <michael.tracey@colorado.edu>; Sat, 11 Mar 2006 05:48:01 -0700
(MST)
Received: from smtp3.hushmail.com (localhost.hushmail.com [127.0.0.1])
by smtp3.hushmail.com (Postfix) with SMTP id A8C8DA32F6
for <michael.tracey@colorado.edu>; Sat, 11 Mar 2006 04:48:00 -0800
(PST)
Received: from mailserver2.hushmail.com (mailserver2.hushmail.com
[65.39.178.21])
by smtp3.hushmail.com (Postfix) with ESMTMP
for <Michael.Tracey@colorado.edu>; Sat, 11 Mar 2006 04:47:59 -0800
(PST)
Received: from mailserver2.hushmail.com (localhost.hushmail.com
[127.0.0.1])
by mailserver2.hushmail.com (8.12.6/8.12.3) with ESMTMP id
k2BC1xz3074380
for <Michael.Tracey@colorado.edu>; Sat, 11 Mar 2006 04:47:59 -0800
(PST)
(envelope-from december1996@hushmail.com)
Received: (from nobody@localhost)
by mailserver2.hushmail.com (8.12.6/8.12.3/submit) id k2BC1xc1074379
```

AFFIDAVIT FOR ARREST WARRANT
JOHN MARK KARR
DOB/12-11-64
PAGE 9

for "Michael Tracey" <Michael.Tracey@colorado.edu>; Sat, 11 Mar 2006
04:47:59
-0800 (GMT)
Message-Id: <200603111247.k2Bclxc1074379@mailserver2.hushmail.com>
Date: Sat, 11 Mar 2006 04:47:55 -0800
To: "Michael Tracey" <Michael.Tracey@colorado.edu>
Cc:

X-ASG-Orig-Subj: JonBenet / My Last Two Letters
Subject: JonBenet / My Last Two Letters
From: <december1996@hushmail.com>
X-Barracuda-Bayes: INNOCENT GLOBAL 0.2383 1.0000 -0.6324
X-Virus-Scanned: by Barracuda Spam Firewall at Colorado.EDU
X-Barracuda-Spam-Score: 1.30
X-Barracuda-Spam-Status: No, SCORE=1.30 using global scores of
TAG_LEVEL=4.0
QUARANTINE_LEVEL=1000.0 KILL_LEVEL=8.0 tests=INVALID_TZ_GMT,
MAILTO_TO_SPAM_ADDR,
NO_REAL_NAME
X-Barracuda-Spam-Report: Code version 3.02, rules version 3.0.9646
Rule breakdown below pts rule name description

0.55 NO_REAL_NAME From: does not include a real name
1.11 INVALID_TZ_GMT Invalid date in header (wrong GMT/UTC timezone)
0.28 MAILTO_TO_SPAM_ADDR URI: Includes a link to a likely spammer email
Here are my last two letters from January that you never responded
to. You really hurt me when you just drop out for months at a time.
I was really starting to open up to you about what happened to me
in the United States and you just left me hanging. You know how
important it is to me to talk about JonBenet to someone. If not
you, who? Her parents won't talk to me anymore. Who can I turn to?
Do you know that answer?
From: december1996@hushmail.com
To: Michael.Tracey@colorado.edu
Subject: My Heart is with Her
Date: Fri, 06 Jan 2006 04:17:14 -0800

Michael,
There was so much that you missed in my last mail. It is sad that
your responses seem rushed. I share so much in my letters and would
share so much more if you would not allow so many lapses in our
correspondences.
>Hi, sure we are still talking. I've been working on the book. It's
>agonizing and daunting.
I am a busy person as well. I am a teacher at a Catholic school for
girls. I arrive home exhausted but would make time for serious
dialogue with you.
"One thought you had, and which you have offered in various forms,
that I really like is your reference to the ransom note being a
distraction,
rather in the way in which a magician works. A very interesting
metaphor. Can I steal it?"
Michael, please do not even joke about stealing things from me. No
you may not "steal" any words or thoughts I have shared. Lou Smit
and others have done that to me and it is wrong. It prompts me to
keep silent.
"Tell me more about your childhood."
I was rather holding back the traditional story that would
certainly place your attention on all the psychological crap that
people usually focus on. It would be as diversionary as the ransom
note.

AFFIDAVIT FOR ARREST WARRANT

JOHN MARK KARR

DOB/12-11-64

PAGE 10

"why was it paradoxical?"

While it was wonderful, it was also traumatic at times.

"When were you orphaned?"

At four.

"Obviously I would like to know about the accusations against you."

There were several accusations that spanned several states.

"I noticed that you say, in relation to the 12 year old, that you were the

suspect "of course." why? why would it be inevitable?

You are scanning my mails... Please don't do that. I never said it was inevitable. I never said, "of course".

"Or are you suggesting that you knew the child?"

I did not know this girl. I have been connected closely with a very few 12 year old girls since this age is above the age of the girls I work with. I was closely connected with one twelve year old girl in the past.

"Sorry for all the questions, I'm just trying to understand."

Questions are welcome. It is the silence that I cannot respond to.

"The other obvious question from our recent conversation is why would

your attorney even have to ask you if you killed JonBenet?"

Because my connection with JonBenet along with many other evidential factors would have led anyone to believe it true. I simply knew too much and was too deeply connected.

"I'm also drawn to ask the questions because you say that in what you are telling me now you are "only scratching the surface."

It is difficult to get deep here with weeks of silence until I finally have to ask you to write back. How can I share much at all at that rate?

"One correction: I said that I never did see photos of my father, at least not for many years. why though did my comment strike a chord with you?"

Michael, please read my mails carefully. This is where I shared something so secret with you and so dear to me. You totally missed it. It was not cryptic: I made a statement and you still missed it.

"One other point, I would still like to talk with you on the phone. I have this curious need to know what your voice sounds like."

The first time I was brought in for questioning by law enforcement, they wanted to do voice analysis by recording my voice. I know they had some reason for that as it related to the investigations. What is your reason? As you never address my fears and reservations that I wrote just in my last letter, why would I give a phone number to you that would trace my exact location? Law enforcement would swarm down on me and my life would be destroyed as badly as it was before I left the U.S.

"Now it's back to the writing."

Now it's back to teaching little girls.

Stay in touch Michael. There is an unreal amount that you do not know about me. I might consider sharing it as long as you do not "steal" it.

D

From: december1996@hushmail.com

To: Michael.Tracey@colorado.edu

Subject: You Missed This....

Date: Sat, 07 Jan 2006 23:28:01 -0800

Did you get my last letter.....??....

This was so important to me yet you never responded to this....

This was sent weeks ago.

"I visited the house, with your note. I always find being there very sad and poignant."

Michael... Is that it? Did you read my message to her as I requested?

I am divulging my deepest secrets to you. I feel you do not appreciate what courage this takes and that you mostly disregard much of what I write here. Instead of seriously wishing to allow me to have input in an official way in your writings, you mention instead that you wish to "steal" my words. It hurts, Michael. I am a human being. Not only that, I hurt. I only wish I could at least speak to someone who cares.

D
Concerned about your privacy? Instantly send FREE secure email, no account required

<http://www.hushmail.com/send?l=480>

Get the best prices on SSL certificates from Hushmail

<https://www.hushssl.com?l=485>

A March 20, 2006 E-mail received by Michael Tracey at 11:57 AM MST titled, "JonBenet Ramsey / My Response", "December1996@hushmail.com" wrote the following, "I am attracted to little girls and not young girls. Though little girls are very young, young girls could be considered teens. There is a fine line that separates those who are attracted to little girls and those who are attracted to young girls. There is something psychological about everything; however I am in sharp disagreement that my attraction is to be labeled as a paraphillia in the respected Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders where homosexuality once held a place in the paraphillia category until it became a political power."

A March 25, 2006 E-mail received by Michael Tracey at 6:08AM MST, titled, "Part I" "December1996@hushmail.com" mentions, "My access to the internet is limited. I usually get an hour per day with no privacy which alters my writing to you. I think I will reply to this mail in parts." The writer adds within the text of the message, "The note was "written on paper found in the house.....There was plenty of DNA". The writer also notes, "The killers were smart but the note was not so cleverly written."

Within the text of a March 26, 2006 Email received by Michael Tracey at 2:08 AM MST, titled, "Part 2 Plus", "December1996@hushmail.com" writes "I loved that time when I was still close enough to talk to the parents and grandparents of JonBenet. I think the Paughs dealt with the murder like the Ramseys should have dealt with the murder - a wreath placed on their front door with a card reading, "No Comment". The Ramseys have made so many mistakes exposing themselves to the press."

The name "Paughs" refers to the parents of Patsy Ramsey.

An E-mail received March 28, 2006 at 4:24 AM MST by Mr. Tracey and titled, "Kisses for JonBenet", "December1996@hushmail.com" writes, "JonBenet was taken from her bed peacefully by a female. She was simply lifted into the girl's arms and carried quietly downstairs. The girl felt like her mother, smelled like her mother, was soft and sweet like her mother. JonBenet was a heavy sleeper. It was attributed to her bounding energy during her waking hours. She was quiet and reserved. She was playful and very alive.

AFFIDAVIT FOR ARREST WARRANT

JOHN MARK KARR

DOB/12-11-64

PAGE 12

When the time came for sleep, she slept hard. Nothing covered her mouth nor was she drugged or stunned or harmed in any way when she was removed from her bed. Genius, many times, overlooks simplicity."

The writer also mentions in the same E-mail received by Mr. Tracey on March 28, 2006, "I have had sex with teens and I have had sex with little girls. The experience is unreal and limited. That is what fuels the sexual frustration. The frustration is unreal. Most of my life has been celebrate (sic) In every case where I had sexual or romantic relationship with a little girl, 10 or younger, I have gone straight to the mother and revealed my feelings and actions. Their reactions might surprise you."

Within an E-mail dated March 30, 2006 and received at 1:38 AM MST, titled, "My Kingdom for a Red Pen", December1996@hushmail.com begins to write of specific clothing items worn by JonBenet Ramsey on the night of her death. Specifically the writer mentions her underwear, which he describes as "knickers". He mentions the waistband of the victim's underwear contained the word "Wednesday" which in fact was printed on the underwear. The writer reiterates his love for JonBenet Ramsey and how her spirit was with him during a recent visit to Alps of Austria and Paris, France. Text preceded with a "<" punctuation mark, is authored by Michael Tracey. The following is a verbatim copy of the E-mail text exchanged between Michael Tracey and December1996@hushmail.com.

```
Return-Path: <december1996@hushmail.com>
Received: from fuzz.colorado.edu (fuzz.colorado.edu [128.138.129.250])
by bandit.Colorado.EDU (8.13.6/8.13.4/ITS-6.0/tls) with ESMTTP id
k2U8CONS029005
(version=TLSV1/SSLV3 cipher=DHE-RSA-AES256-SHA bits=256 verify=NO)
for <tracey@buffmail.colorado.edu>; Thu, 30 Mar 2006 01:38:50 -0700
(MST)
Received: from mx12.Colorado.EDU (mx12.colorado.edu [128.138.128.112])
by fuzz.colorado.edu (8.13.1/8.13.0/ITS-6.0/standard-linux) with ESMTTP
id
k2U8coeu024055
for <michael.tracey@colorado.edu>; Thu, 30 Mar 2006 01:38:50 -0700
X-ASG-Debug-ID: 1143707928-6158-377-0
X-Barracuda-URL: http://128.138.128.112:8000/cgi-bin/mark.cgi
Received: from smtp3.hushmail.com (smtp3.hushmail.com [65.39.178.135])
by mx12.Colorado.EDU (Spam Firewall) with ESMTTP id 8B57814F50
for <michael.tracey@colorado.edu>; Thu, 30 Mar 2006 01:38:48 -0700
(MST)
Received: from smtp3.hushmail.com (localhost.hushmail.com [127.0.0.1])
by smtp3.hushmail.com (Postfix) with SMTP id 37EFCA32A7
for <michael.tracey@colorado.edu>; Thu, 30 Mar 2006 00:38:47 -0800
(PST)
Received: from mailserver5.hushmail.com (mailserver5.hushmail.com
[65.39.178.19])
by smtp3.hushmail.com (Postfix) with ESMTTP
for <Michael.Tracey@colorado.edu>; Thu, 30 Mar 2006 00:38:46 -0800
(PST)
Received: by mailserver5.hushmail.com (Postfix, from userid 65534)
id 652DF33C23; Thu, 30 Mar 2006 00:38:46 -0800 (PST)
Date: Thu, 30 Mar 2006 00:38:45 -0800
To: "Michael Tracey" <Michael.Tracey@colorado.edu>
Cc:
```

AFFIDAVIT FOR ARREST WARRANT
JOHN MARK KARR
DOB/12-11-64
PAGE 13

X-ASG-Orig-Subj: JonBenet / My Kingdom for a Red Pen
Subject: JonBenet / My Kingdom for a Red Pen
From: <december1996@hushmail.com>
Content-type: text/plain; charset="UTF-8"
Message-Id: <20060330083846.652DF33C23@mailserver5.hushmail.com>
X-Barracuda-Bayes: INNOCENT GLOBAL 0.5000 1.0000 0.0000
X-Virus-Scanned: by Barracuda Spam Firewall at Colorado.EDU
X-Barracuda-Spam-Score: 0.55
X-Barracuda-Spam-Status: No, SCORE=0.55 using global scores of
TAG_LEVEL=4.0
QUARANTINE_LEVEL=1000.0 KILL_LEVEL=8.0 tests=NO_REAL_NAME
X-Barracuda-Spam-Report: Code version 3.02, rules version 3.0.10262
Rule breakdown below pts rule name description

0.55 NO_REAL_NAME From: does not include a real name
Michael,

Again, PLEASE stop quoting my sensitive mails and sending them back to me at the bottom of your mails. Thank you.

>Morning, this e-mail obviously I found fascinating.

I am glad. I do not write anything to fascinate you. I'm only sharing. Sharing anything about JonBenet's death is horrible for me. I am sure you are familiar with post traumatic stress disorder. It was first diagnosed in soldiers returning from Vietnam. It applies to me when it comes to recalling the events of the night of 25 December, 1996 in that I do not recall everything or block out most of the events as a way of naturally numbing myself.

>I am sorry both to hear that you are in a lot of emotional pain. But >most of all I was terribly sorry to hear about your daughters. What >happened. It must be terrible to lose young children.

I thank you very much for your sympathy. It brings a personal connection between us. Without that, sharing what I have would be impossible. I shall not have another daughter for the rest of my life or any other children which makes it even harder to have lost the daughters I had. I still have other children. I grieve their loss though they are still alive as far as I know.

>Of course John Ramsey knows exactly how a how a father feels. But he nor Patsy show the pain that I feel. They never have. I have always been amazed at how little emotions they have shown. When you gave them the chance to talk to me again, they simply refused. Had that been I, it would have been at least worth a few minutes of my time to talk to a person who knew something about the death of my child. Do you still have access to the Ramseys? Can you pick up the phone and call them or send e-mails to them?

>Re the MPD I've often wondered if Douglas was correct in saying that >it was not associated with violence. From your words it sounds as if >you have researched the subject. I suspect that you are correct.

I have studied psychology. I especially have poured over the DSM - the APA bible. I have almost as much beef with them as do the Scientologists. I feel the same about law enforcement but I have also studied law. Cases I recall regarding MPD have involved people who actually had a different hand writing and even wore different prescription glasses as their personalities changed.

>what I don't quite understand is the idea that JonBenet was removed >from her room without being stunned. I've got the autopsy photos and >have done quite a lot of research on stun guns and the marks on her >face and back sure as hell look like stun gun marks to me. Could they >have happened after she ws removed from the room.

The reason this case remains unsolved is that you are clinging to known theories. I am telling you that a stun gun was not used on

AFFIDAVIT FOR ARREST WARRANT

JOHN MARK KARR

DOB/12-11-64

PAGE 14

JonBenet in her room. What would be the first reaction of a stun gun application? I do not know if ever one was used on her. She was removed from her room just as I described. It is the natural way. But law enforcement deals in MO's. That is all they understand.

>When you say you remember her knickers in every detail, I'm >assuming you mean JonBenet's.

I did mean JonBenet. But while we are on the subject, I can recall the knickers of other little girls. I have an affinity for lovely little knickers. I suppose you could call that a fetish since it is a sexual attraction to an inanimate object. It is one of the paraphilias cited by the DSM. WOW.

>I was amused by the fact that you as an American had adopted the >term knickers and I as a Brit used the term panties.

How do you know I am completely American? I know Europe better than America. Might I interest you in using the term knickers again? It is so much more lovely.

>One thing that you wrote that makes absolute sense to me is that >the killer spent a lot of time kissing her

I am glad you understood that. He did kiss her a lot. As is mandatory in most autopsies, there is always a swab of the inside of the mouth and the inside of the anus. But no one did a lot of swabbing on her mouth, I suppose because of the tape that covered her mouth. It was a mistake not to.

>he would want to possess her in as many different ways as possible.

Kissing is a form of worship. Though I am very possessive, I kiss to show respect and to taste her. It is the same way you might kiss a woman but for me it is much more powerful when it is done with a little girl.

>I'm also convinced that he performed oral sex on her. Does that >jive >with your way of thinking?

Oral sex is the main means of having sex with a child. It is the only natural choice. No one who truly, romantically loves a little girl would try to penetrate her vagina especially. The probing of the vagina that you have spoken of does not coincide and therefore lends itself to the second person present.... Now that you have brought up sex, the issue of her bed clothes arises. She was stripped of the bottom half of her clothing. If she was found with those items replaced, they were replaced prior to the last person who left. I recall thermal long underwear atop her lovely knickers. This leads me to the next subject - souvenirs. It makes no sense at all that anyone would consider a broken paint brush handle to be a souvenir no matter where it had been. Her knickers would have been a good example. Her hair another. Her entire body would have been the best one.

I want to ask you to do something for me. Go through your house and find a red pen. Then call up a friend and have them look for a red pen in their house. When you find someone who can find one readily in their home, let me know what their profession is. The real person to ask to participate in this exercise would be the Ramseys though I know they would not. This is pertinent to the case.

I dislike talking about the case. I know you love it and it is what you are here to do. I could so educate you about loving little girls in a sexual way which could help you in this case.

Unfortunately, I can also talk about this case as I know it well.

To deviate from all this talk of death, I love JonBenet. She seems always to be with me. When I was in the Alps of Austria, she was speaking to me. When I was walking the streets of Paris and London, she walked with me. But nothing can replace the essence of her true physical self. A body I wish to cherish once more is forever buried.

D

AFFIDAVIT FOR ARREST WARRANT

JOHN MARK KARR

DOB/12-11-64

PAGE 15

Concerned about your privacy? Instantly send FREE secure email, no account required

<http://www.hushmail.com/send?l=480>

Get the best prices on SSL certificates from Hushmail

<https://www.hushssl.com?l=485>

Within an E-mail dated March 31, 2006 bearing a time of 3:37 AM MST, titled, "Sentimental Objects", "December1996@hushmail.com" mentions in the body of the message, "...I have something on my mind that I must share. You refer to "souvenirs". It is not at all the correct term to be used. It must be referred to as a sentimental object removed" The writer adds, "I recall she was wearing a gold bracelet that night with the name JonBenet engraved on it. It was a Christmas gift. Do you know who gave it to her? The bracelet would have been priceless to anyone who would want to take something as a piece of sentiment. I recall her hair was held in place with hair ties or some sort. Those hair ties would have been something to take along with her hair. Her knickers were of great value to me. I cherish little girls' knickers of all kinds, especially of little girls 8 and younger and she was six.

Your affiant determined through an interview conducted with John Ramsey on May 16, 2006, the aforementioned bracelet bearing the name of JonBenet was a Christmas gift provided by John and Patsy Ramsey to their daughter. It should be noted, the autopsy report prepared by Dr. John Meyers, described the "ID" bracelet, including the name of "JonBenet" appearing on one side of an engraved plate and the date of "12-25-96" appearing on the opposite. Additionally the "hair ties" found in the hair of JonBenet, were also described in the autopsy report.

Mr. Tracey received an E-mail from December1996@hushmail.com on April 2, 2006 at 5:09 AM MST, titled, "JonBenet/Wednesday, the writer responds to a statement previously posed by Mr. Tracey, in which Mr. Tracey mentions, "Your reference to the red pen intrigues me". Mr. Tracey is referring to the red colored ink mark on the palm of JonBenet Ramsey's left palm. The unidentified writer responds with, "Yes, it has all to do with the red heart drawn on JonBenet's left hand. I am not sure I am prepared to see photos of JonBenet-post mortem. I had quite a collection of photos of her alive when I was in the U.S. I also had a few of her funeral. In the raid on my house, law enforcement found all of them...."

The unknown writer also mentions in the April 2, 2006 message his desire to have physical relationships with young girls. The writer notes, "... The end of nine years old is usually the stopping point for me due to the physical height and development of the child. In some parts of the world, however, I have been highly attracted to girls who are 12 though they were the size of girls 8 in the US. I cannot say I was actually attracted to the 12 year olds but it was a little more tempting. I am attracted to dolls. When they get past the doll stage, I am no longer physically attracted..."

AFFIDAVIT FOR ARREST WARRANT
JOHN MARK KARR
DOB/12-11-64
PAGE 16

Contained in an E-mail received on April 4, 2006 at 5:00 AM, MST titled, "Contact is Imperative". December1996@hushmail.com writes of his desire to contact the Ramsey family. He provides the last known address he had for John and Patsy Ramsey

The writer mentions he believes, "The killers need to have up to date contact information for the Ramseys. If in the unlikely event one of them might wish to make a confession. It would be made to Patsy Ramsey and not to you or to law enforcement."

The following is the entirety of the aforementioned E-mail authored by December1996@hushmail.com dated April 4, 2006 at 5:01 AM, MST titled, "Contact is Imperative".

```
Return-Path: <december1996@hushmail.com>
Received: from thread.colorado.edu (thread.colorado.edu
[128.138.129.202])
by bandit.Colorado.EDU (8.13.6/8.13.4/ITS-6.0/tls) with ESMTTP id
k34B16GZ008736
(version=TLSv1/SSLv3 cipher=DHE-RSA-AES256-SHA bits=256 verify=NO)
for <tracey@buffmail.colorado.edu>; Tue, 4 Apr 2006 05:01:06 -0600
(MDT)
Received: from mx10.Colorado.EDU (mx10.colorado.edu [128.138.128.110])
by thread.colorado.edu (8.13.1/8.13.0/ITS-6.0/standard-linux) with
ESMTTP id
k34B16Cu001660
for <michael.tracey@colorado.edu>; Tue, 4 Apr 2006 05:01:06 -0600
X-ASG-Debug-ID: 1144148464-27776-142-0
X-Barracuda-URL: http://128.138.128.110:8000/cgi-bin/mark.cgi
Received: from smtp3.hushmail.com (smtp3.hushmail.com [65.39.178.135])
by mx10.Colorado.EDU (Spam Firewall) with ESMTTP id 739CD1166D4
for <michael.tracey@colorado.edu>; Tue, 4 Apr 2006 05:01:04 -0600 (MDT)
Received: from smtp3.hushmail.com (localhost.hushmail.com [127.0.0.1])
by smtp3.hushmail.com (Postfix) with SMTP id EF945A324A
for <michael.tracey@colorado.edu>; Tue, 4 Apr 2006 04:01:02 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from mailserver6.hushmail.com (mailserver6.hushmail.com
[65.39.178.56])
by smtp3.hushmail.com (Postfix) with ESMTTP
for <Michael.Tracey@colorado.edu>; Tue, 4 Apr 2006 04:01:02 -0700 (PDT)
Received: by mailserver6.hushmail.com (Postfix, from userid 65534)
id 93556DA825; Tue, 4 Apr 2006 04:01:02 -0700 (PDT)
Date: Tue, 04 Apr 2006 13:00:59 +0200
To: "Michael Tracey" <Michael.Tracey@colorado.edu>
Cc:
X-ASG-Orig-Subj: JonBenet / Contact is Imperative
Subject: JonBenet / Contact is Imperative
From: <december1996@hushmail.com>
Content-type: text/plain; charset="UTF-8"
Message-Id: <20060404110102.93556DA825@mailserver6.hushmail.com>
X-Barracuda-Bayes: INNOCENT GLOBAL 0.4947 1.0000 0.0000
X-Virus-Scanned: by Barracuda Spam Firewall at Colorado.EDU
X-Barracuda-Spam-Score: 0.55
X-Barracuda-Spam-Status: No, SCORE=0.55 using global scores of
TAG_LEVEL=4.0
QUARANTINE_LEVEL=1000.0 KILL_LEVEL=8.0 tests=NO_REAL_NAME
X-Barracuda-Spam-Report: Code version 3.02, rules version 3.0.10463
Rule breakdown below pts rule name description
-----
```

AFFIDAVIT FOR ARREST WARRANT

JOHN MARK KARR

DOB/12-11-64

PAGE 17

0.55 NO_REAL_NAME From: does not include a real name
Michael,

I am so glad that you proved me wrong and responded promptly. It is very important to the flow of our conversation.

I can understand your frustration with the performance of your students. It is a sad commentary that many college level students are virtually unable to write. I teach writing to children as young as 6. Even a picture drawn with a sentence written underneath is important in starting the process of creative writing with confidence. As the children get older, I encourage the keeping of journals as well as the teaching writing techniques and constant practice of published works with encouragement to boldly express in writing. This is not common practice in American schools. It does explain why you are faced with the poor prospect and inability to write well.

>All my comments on their papers by the way done with a red pen.
Ah yes, the almighty best companion to all teachers - sometimes, the sword.

>But enough of my woes.

I love hearing about you and your life and career. Please don't hesitate.

>Are you saying that you would like to contact the Ramseys. Would you like me to try and arrange it?

Of course, I would like to reconnect with the Ramseys. The main reason, however, for my question is that I feel the killers need to have up to date contact information for the Ramseys. If in the unlikely event that one of them might wish to make a confession, it would be made to Patsy Ramsey and not to you or to law enforcement. If I could just keep up to date on their whereabouts and contact information, this would keep a live link between them and the killers. I think it might be the single most important factor in this matter. The Ramseys have two addresses in Atlanta. The following is from my memory. None of this is written down. My last contact for them there was 4070 Paces Ferry Road, Atlanta, GA 30327. I have been to that home several times. It was located down and across the street from Lovett School where Burke attended and I have connections. I know that they had a vacation home in Charlevoix, Michigan where I presume they are now. I once had that address but did not memorize it nor did I visit it.

>I have both phone numbers and e-mail addresses. I have no idea what >their reaction would be. I'm sure you can understand that what >happened to JonBenet is still deeply painful.

You might recall that we tried this once before in that I wrote a letter to the Ramseys and sent it to an e-mail address you provided. I do not have those addresses anymore but recall that one belonging to John Ramsey was an "N" number for an old Beechcraft airplane he once owned. I would like all the contact information you might have for the Ramseys. The information would be guarded and most likely not accessed. I do understand that their situation is still sensitive as well as the added illness of Patsy. I respect that. However, it is very important that certain parties have access to contact information at all times. I, personally, would never be able to call them or to write a letter and send it to them in the mail as this would pinpoint my location. On the other hand, I travel extensively at times and might consider something during a stop over. It would always be with all due respect. I love JonBenet's mother, especially and would never do anything to remotely upset her. I would surely appreciate it if you could help me with that. Please know I would honor the information and keep it in the safest secret place.

>It's amazing that she's still alive. If there is something in particular you want to tell her I wonder how much time there is

AFFIDAVIT FOR ARREST WARRANT

JOHN MARK KARR

DOB/12-11-64

PAGE 18

>left to do so.

That is very sad indeed. She is the key to the contact with the killers due the fact that she is JonBenet's mother.

>I've been thinking about your fascination with, and sexual desire >for, young girls, "dolls," as you describe them, pre-pubescent, no >breasts, no pubic hair, smooth skin, small, malleable, vulnerable. >Correct?

Not at all.... The little girls I have been attracted to have all been very dominant. I am more vulnerable than they have been. This has nothing to do with controlling a weaker person. I see certain little girls as very powerful. Doll refers to the perfect image.

>And sure, i've been to Far East many times and can see >how girls there who were slightly older would nevertheless appear >younger.

I was referring to certain Latinas, not far eastern girls.

>But what then is the twist with young teens and yes do please tell me >more about this.

Although I mentioned to you an ex-wife in my last letter without your response, it does tell you that I have at least been married once if not more. On any occasion when I did marry, the girl was a teen. Teens are the closest one can come to marrying a child. Teens can look childlike but also have the capacity to allow penetrative sex. There is much more to this facet of my life.

>Also, I'm still extremely interested in you educating me about sex >with young girls in a way that would help me better understand this >case.

I have had sex with teens and I have had sex with little girls. The experience is unreal and limited. This is what fuels the sexual frustration. The frustration is unreal. Most of my life has been celibate. In every case where I have had a sexual or romantic relationship with a little girl, 10 or younger, I have gone straight to the mother and revealed my feelings and actions. Their reactions might surprise you. I can assure you that all my relationships were in honor to the little girl to please her and not to please me.

>By the way i appreciate you not pushing me on my reference to the >death of a child in my case. It is something that i find difficult >to even think of without crying.

But you must also know that I am here in case you might want to talk about it. It is important not to forget as I know you never will. Let me tell you that you are very lucky to have your other children with you.

>ps. I'm assuming that red pens can be souvenirs as well?

Not so much. I was merely trying to explain something pertinent to the case.

I hope to hear from you soon. Remember, please don't quote and send back my letter at the end of your letter. Thank you.

D

Concerned about your privacy? Instantly send FREE secure email, no account required

<http://www.hushmail.com/send?l=480>

Get the best prices on SSL certificates from Hushmail

<https://www.hushssl.com?l=485>

Often, throughout the four year exchange of E-mails "Decmeber1996@hushmail.com" will repeat information previously provided. Such was the case on April 4, 2006. The writer sent two (2) E-mails to Michael Tracey, each E-mail bore a date of April 4, 2006 and a time of 5:00:59 AM MST. Each E-mail was titled, "JonBenet / Contact is Imperative". The content of the second E-mail contains much of the same verbiage as was noted in the first E-mail dated April 4, 2006. However, within the second E-mail

AFFIDAVIT FOR ARREST WARRANT
JOHN MARK KARR
DOB/12-11-64
PAGE 19

dated April 4, 2006, the unidentified writer responds to a question posed by Michael Tracey that did not appear in the first E-mail dated April 4, 2006. Mr. Tracey had previously informed the unidentified writer that he (Tracey) was authoring his second book on the death of JonBenet Ramsey and mentioned the need of the writer to cooperate with him. Mr. Tracey asks, "What is it that you and only you can tell the reader about the crime that would astonish them and prove that you know things that others don't?"

"December 1996@hushmail.com replies in the second E-mail dated April 4, 2006, "I have no desire to astonish anyone. That would make me a sensationalist. I have some things to say but have no idea if it has been published or not. I do not read published information about the case. I cannot bear it. I never could."

Tracey inquires why the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) focused on the writer in a prior homicide. "December 1996@hushmail.com" replies in the second April 4, 2006 E-mail, "It seems that I confided in a person about JonBenet and my love for little girls who turned out to be an informant. She recorded our phone conversations and met me with a wire on. It was pure deceit. I suspected it all along and even accused her of it several times. I had a weak moment and wanted to talk about JonBenet to someone. I chose the wrong person. It cost me everything. I hate myself for having met this person and further sharing things with her.

FURTHERMORE:

Her body (the 12 year old) was found within walking distance of my home in the state I resided in at the time. It was this unreal fact that lead law enforcement to really hone in on me. Her home was a city 20 miles or so away from my own city.

ADDITIONALLY;

I revealed information about JonBenet Ramsey that spurred an investigation. I am a teacher who has contact with thousands of little girls as well. It was not just JonBenet and the 12 year old. It was much more. There were other little girls involved and other charges."

Mr. Tracey received an E-mail on April 7, 2006 at 4:36 AM, MST, titled, "JonBenet/I Love Her", "December 1996@hushmail.com" writes, "Thanks for everything Michael. I have been thinking of the possibilities of writing with you. I think it is important that the world reads my words. You have the power to present my words to the world. I am prepared to seriously talk to you about the possibilities."

In an April 8, 2006 E-mail received by Mr. Tracey at 4:15 AM, MST, December1996@hushmail.com writes in response to a question posed by Michael Tracey in which Mr. Tracey asks;

"THE OTHER THING I WAS WONDERING WAS WHETHER SHE WAS HOGTIED AS PART OF THE RITUAL.

AFFIDAVIT FOR ARREST WARRANT
JOHN MARK KARR
DOB/12-11-64
PAGE 20

The reply is:

I am not sure what that expression means. I will reveal one more fact to you that I do not believe you know. She was in the vertical position during the asphyxiation "ritual". Ritual is not really a proper term to use in the sense that you know as the definition of ritual. The asphyxiation was sexual and some sex acts are considered, ritual. The other fact is that she was not necessarily in the vertical position for the asphyxiation "ritual" in the same area she was found in. I proposition you to revisit photos of that area and see if you can detect possible places she could have been placed in the vertical position OR the impossibilities of such. And one more revelation: the killers left at different times.

December1996@hushmail.com writes in an E-mail received April 15, 2006 at 4:41 AM MST, titled, "JonBenet / Near Death", his understanding of the Modus Operandi utilized in the death of JonBenet Ramsey. The writer mentions "two distinct personalities, one was romantic and passionate. The other more pragmatic and violent which leads to the end result - one I do not like to think about." The writer also describes how JonBenet was placed in a vertical hanging position with her wrists tied together. Pressure was applied to her neck to deprive her of oxygen, causing "a dream like state." Sexual activity then was carried out, including the delivery of kisses then more asphyxia to heighten the sexual pleasure. Then pressure to her neck was released slowly. Following is the entire E-mail received on April 15, 2006. The "D" appearing at the end of the message refers to this initial which the writer often has ended his message with in the past. The writer later ended his e-mail messages with the name of DAXIS.

```
"Return-Path: <december1996@hushmail.com>
Received: from lint.colorado.edu (lint.colorado.edu [128.138.129.203])
by bandit.Colorado.EDU (8.13.6/8.13.4/ITS-6.0/tls) with ESMTMP id
k3FAfYu1014415
(version=TLSv1/SSLv3 cipher=DHE-RSA-AES256-SHA bits=256 verify=NO)
for <tracey@buffmail.colorado.edu>; Sat, 15 Apr 2006 04:41:34 -0600
(MDT)
Received: from mx13.Colorado.EDU (mx13.colorado.edu [128.138.128.113])
by lint.colorado.edu (8.13.1/8.13.0/ITS-6.0/standard-linux) with ESMTMP
id
k3FAfY9X030286
for <michael.tracey@colorado.edu>; Sat, 15 Apr 2006 04:41:34 -0600
X-ASG-Debug-ID: 1145097693-419-283-0
X-Barracuda-URL: http://128.138.128.113:8000/cgi-bin/mark.cgi
Received: from smtp3.hushmail.com (smtp3.hushmail.com [65.39.178.135])
by mx13.Colorado.EDU (Spam Firewall) with ESMTMP id DFD3E16E759
for <michael.tracey@colorado.edu>; Sat, 15 Apr 2006 04:41:33 -0600
(MDT)
Received: from smtp3.hushmail.com (localhost.hushmail.com [127.0.0.1])
by smtp3.hushmail.com (Postfix) with SMTP id 1259DA32AC
for <michael.tracey@colorado.edu>; Sat, 15 Apr 2006 03:41:32 -0700
(PDT)
Received: from mailserver3.hushmail.com (mailserver3.hushmail.com
[65.39.178.20])
by smtp3.hushmail.com (Postfix) with ESMTMP
for <Michael.Tracey@colorado.edu>; Sat, 15 Apr 2006 03:41:31 -0700
(PDT)
Received: (from nobody@localhost)
```

AFFIDAVIT FOR ARREST WARRANT
JOHN MARK KARR
DOB/12-11-64

PAGE 21

by mailserver3.hushmail.com (8.12.11/8.12.9/submit) id k3FAFvu3018537
for "Michael Tracey" <Michael.Tracey@colorado.edu>; Sat, 15 Apr 2006
06:41:31
-0400 (GMT)
(envelope-from december1996@hushmail.com)
Message-Id: <200604151041.k3FAFvu3018537@mailserver3.hushmail.com>
Date: Sat, 15 Apr 2006 06:41:29 -0400
To: "Michael Tracey" <Michael.Tracey@colorado.edu>
Cc:
X-ASG-Orig-Subj: JonBenet / Near Death
Subject: JonBenet / Near Death
From: <december1996@hushmail.com>
Content-type: text/plain; charset="UTF-8"
X-Barracuda-Bayes: INNOCENT GLOBAL 0.5000 1.0000 0.0100
X-Virus-Scanned: by Barracuda Spam Firewall at Colorado.EDU
X-Barracuda-Spam-Score: 1.67
X-Barracuda-Spam-Status: No, SCORE=1.67 using global scores of
TAG_LEVEL=4.0
QUARANTINE_LEVEL=1000.0 KILL_LEVEL=8.0 tests=INVALID_TZ_GMT,
NO_REAL_NAME
X-Barracuda-Spam-Report: Code version 3.02, rules version 3.0.10918
Rule breakdown below pts rule name description

0.55 NO_REAL_NAME From: does not include a real name
1.11 INVALID_TZ_GMT Invalid date in header (wrong GMT/UTC timezone)
Michael,

Thank you so much for your response. I know you are busy but please
try to stay in close contact with me. Thank you for your
explanation of why you do not respond to much of what I write. Just
remember that nothing I say is symbolic. It is factual just as my
responses in this letter shall be. Read carefully.

I have been thinking of that horrible night so much lately. It went
away quite a bit when you and I did not talk for over a year. Now,
it is all back. It is shouting at me.

"I DO UNDERSTAND HOWEVER THAT YOU KNOW IN GREAT DETAIL WHAT
HAPPENED THAT NIGHT, AND SO DOES SOMEONE ELSE WHO I AM ASSUMING IS
FEMALE."

Thank you for that acknowledgement. This helps me to carry on with
this. It is not easy for me. It is horrible for me.

"I'M ALSO ASSUMING THAT, IN LIGHT OF YOUR COMMENT THAT THEY LEFT AT
DIFFERENT TIMES, IT WAS THE WOMAN WHO LEFT FIRST."

That is not necessarily true though I would rather comment on this
later so as not to completely incriminate myself. The modus
operandi has two distinct personalities. One was romantic and
passionate. The other was more pragmatic and violent which leads to
the end result - one I do not like to think about.

"SO I ASK MYSELF, WHY."

Because the two, at a certain point, did not see eye to eye.

"THE INTENT WAS TO TAKE JONBENET OUT OF THE HOUSE."

It was? That is an obvious answer. But is it the true answer. I am
going to reveal something to you about myself. Had I wanted to take
JonBenet, I would have. Over a decade earlier, I saw a lovely 12
year old girl I wanted. In her case, no one had to retrieve her
from her bed as they did JonBenet. She arose from her bed while her
parents slept and came to me. I took her. And so I have experience
taking little girls from their houses in the middle of the night.

"THAT WOULD OFFER THE OPPORTUNITY FOR MUCH MORE EXTENDED

"PLAYTIME," AND WE BOTH KNOW THAT DRAWN OUT SEX WITH HER WAS VERY
MUCH PART OF THE PLAN."

How would you know what the plan was? what would the killers do
with her had they taken her?

AFFIDAVIT FOR ARREST WARRANT

JOHN MARK KARR

DOB/12-11-64

PAGE 22

"FOR REASONS THAT I AM NOT CLEAR ABOUT THAT DIDN'T WORK OUT, SO THE SEX AND THE TORTURE HAD TO BE DONE THERE BECAUSE THERE WAS NO WAY THIS WASN'T GOING TO HAPPEN"

We must cure ourselves of this word torture. It was a sexual act. I could explain it to you. I shall explain a facet of it - the main core. She was asphyxiated, that you know. Do you know how? She was placed in a vertical hanging position, by the wrist in a more elaborate way than you might think. She would never be placed in a lying down position as this would cause blood to travel in more abundance to the head. Slow pressure was applied to her neck until oxygen was gradually deprived. Had it been quicker, she would have struggled. If done correctly, she would be in a dream state, much as one is during hyperventilation - which is exactly what it is. The pressure remains while sexual activity is being carried out on her - while the deliverer kisses her. In many, the asphyxia heightens sexual pleasure. The pressure is constant until the little girl passes out or comes near death. This takes two or three minutes. Then, the pressure is released slowly. This is the critical point. If the pressure is carried on for two or three minutes more, the little girl can die. The goal is to bring her near death - not to kill her. It takes consummate skill to know just when that time has elapsed. The deliverer is not using a stop watch. Two to three minutes depends on the age of the girl and the way the pressure is delivered. Five minutes can be deadly. The deliverer must know from signs in her face, for instance, when to stop delivering pressure. It is a difference of a mere two to three minutes from near death to certain death. That was a horror to write. I hope it means something to you.

"THER NEED TO KISS HER KNECK, FEET AND TO HAVE ORAL SEX WITH HER WAS

OVERWHELMING. THE ULTIMATE FULFILLMENT OF THE FANTASY."

The ultimate fulfillment of the fantasy was the whole picture - the sexual asphyxia, the contact with her sex, the kissing of her neck and feet and other parts of her body. It was a symphony.

"THEN SHE HAD TO BE KILLED BECAUSE SHE KNEW WHO HER KILLER WAS, DIDN'T SHE?"

That is not the reason for her death. Her death was not intended.

"I WAS SURPRISED WHEN YOU TOLD ME THAT YOU HAD BEEN THE SUBJECT OF PHONE TAPPING."

I was under state and federal investigation for murder. Why would you be surprised?

"I'M STILL TRYING TO ORGANIZE A WAY FOR YOU TO TALK TO THEM."

I need their address, e-mail contact and a land line phone number. I will not respond to a set up meeting. This is prime for law enforcement to eaves drop.

"I BELIEVE I MAY HAVE AN ANSWER ON THIS BY THE MIDDLE OF NEXT WEEK - THOUGH OBVIOUSLY I CAN'T PROMISE THAT THEY WILL BE AGREEABLE."

They won't be.

"I WILL HOWEVER DO MY VERY BEST TO PERSUADE THEM."

Just tell them that someone close to JonBenet would like to have their contact information. We wish them no harm. Tell them that the information will be held in confidence. They should expect contact at an unexpected time.

"I AM NOT SURE THOUGH WHY TALKING TO THE KILLERS WOULD BE THEIR

"LUCKY DAY."

You said it would relieve them from being a suspect. And it would not be their lucky day? Do they not also seek closure?

"AND IF THE CALL CAME THROUGH, WOULD IT BE AN APOLOGY, A TAUNT, AN EXPLANATION? I KNOW THAT THEY ARE DESPERATE TO KNOW THE ANSWER TO THE MOST PROFOUND QUESTION OF ALL, "WHY."

We have no intention to taunt the Ramseys. I love that family - especially JonBenet's mother. It would be similar to how I talk to

you.

"AND WHAT DOES THIS MEAN: Patsy's information is key. I wish I could tell YOU EVERYTHING AT ONCE BUT I CAN'T."

I should have written "Patsy's contact information". She is the person I wish to have contact with.

"RE THE STUN GUN, I WAS CREDITING YOU. SOMEHOW, YOUR DESCRIPTION, BASED ON YOUR KNOWLEDGE, NOT SURMISE, MADE SENSE."

Thank you. Just know that she was taken from her bed in the way I described.

D

In an April 17, 2006 E-mail received by Mr. Tracey at 10:58 AM MST, titled "JonBenet's Mother", December1996@hushmail writes, "JonBenet's mother lives at 213 Elm Street, Charlevoix, Michigan 49720-1137. I believe I will be sitting in their living room before I get the phone number and E-Mail contacts I requested..." It should be noted the street address indicated by the writer i.e., 213 Elm Street, is a correct address of the Ramsey family, however this address is located in a different city than Charlevoix, Michigan.

An April 19, 2006 received by Michael Tracey at 4:52 AM MST, titled, "JonBenet/My Lover", December1996@hushmail.com writes, "There was a dance in the act performed with JonBenet but also a dance between two killers - one male and one female. The male is not necessarily the dominant one nor the violent one..." The writer also includes the following verbiage in the same E-mail, "...Yes, I am aware of the blunt trauma to her head. It was the worst of the end result. It went against all that I believed in because it tarnished my princess. Blood in small quantities is a different matter such as blood from her hot little cunt that I so wish I could drink at this very moment. But the trauma to her head haunts me - so horrible. An act committed to assure that she would not be alone, dying a slow death."

The writer again includes the aforementioned residential address of Patsy and John Ramsey, this being, 213 Elm Street, Charlevoix, Michigan.

Crime scene photographs taken of JonBenet Ramsey at the scene on December 26, 1996 in addition to autopsy photographs revealed no visible exterior trauma to the head. During the autopsy procedure performed by Dr. John E. Meyer M.D., an 8.5 inch fracture to the right side of the victim's skull was revealed.

The entire E-mail received on April 19, 2006 at 4:52 AM, MST, titled "JonBenet My Lover" follows:

Return-Path: <december1996@hushmail.com>
Received: from fuzz.colorado.edu (fuzz.colorado.edu [128.138.129.250])
by bandit.Colorado.EDU (8.13.6/8.13.4/ITS-6.0/tls) with ESMTTP id
k3JAqq00007689
(version=TLSv1/SSLv3 cipher=DHE-RSA-AES256-SHA bits=256 verify=NO)
for <tracey@buffmail.colorado.edu>; wed, 19 Apr 2006 04:52:52 -0600
(MDT)

